

WAGGS AND WOSM PARTNERSHIP

HISTORICALLY

Different models over the years

- Joint triennial plan
- Joint working groups
- Mirrored organizational structure

Structural challenges

- Different priorities
- Uneven resources monetary, staff and volunteers
- Unclear / no objectives
- Different and changing organizations, who talks to whom
- Different systems and requirements for delegation, reporting, follow up etc

- External consultant input from MO's, both committees, staff, joint working groups, youth council representatives etc
- Outcomes:
 - The Regions should focus on what the work will achieve for MOs/CAs and NSOs/NSAs and their members, rather than many joint activities for their own sake;
 - The achievements of working together should support the delivery of outcomes in the strategic plans of both Regions;
 - There should be a documented description of how the E and WOSM-European Region will work more effectively t

Outcomes:

- Working in partnership is effective when it is project-based and when the right skills, competencies and financial resources are available and assigned to achieve objectives;
- High level reporting should take place;
- Each Region has different ways of working, structures and resources. This needs to be considered when planning how to achieve outcomes.



COLLABORATION

- Memorandum of Understanding
 - Committed resources, objectives, timelines...

Strategic outcomes

- Development of effective and sustainable Guiding and Scout Associations
- Valuing the contribution of youth and adult volunteering including to skills development and employability



PARTNERSHIP

Achievement of more than would be possible if each Region was working alone.

Evaluation says the Regions achieve most together when:

- The pool of knowledge for associations to share experience and expertise is enlarged;
- The voice and influence of Scouting and Guiding associations is amplified with key decision makers and target audiences;
- The scale and range of participants at events are enlarg practical, cost-effective, attractive and influential.



BETWEEN WOSM AND WAGGGS

- Academy 2015 in Portugal
- IC Forum (Jan 2016)
- Roverway 2016 in France
- CEO meeting, CVE meeting
- Donor advocacy project

- Committee meetings shared time
- Co-ordination group
- Europe conference

- Consultative committee
 - Chair, vice chair and chief executive
 - Started again in 2015
 - Next meeting in June
 - Minutes public



PERSPECTIVE

History – how did we end up here

Some WAGGGS statistics

- In Europe less than half the members are SAGNO's (46%)
- 35 out of 146 MO's are SAGNO's 111 MO's are not
- 3 out of 4 members in WAGGGS globally are members only in WAGGGS

Focus for the discussion

